

Development Dynamics and Poetics of the Historical-Romantic Novel Genre in Modern English Literature

Sevinj Aziz

Ph.D. in Philology

Nakhchivan State University, Faculty of Foreign Languages, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan

Email: sevincaziz92@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0238-6532>

© 2026 Sevinj Aziz. This is an open-access article published in *Global Spectrum of Research and Humanities (GSRH)*.
Licensed under **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)** — free to share, adapt and use
commercially, with attribution.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.69760/gsrh.0260304006>

Abstract

This article explores the development dynamics and poetics of the historical-romantic novel in modern English literature from the late 20th to the early 21st century. Grounded in contemporary literary theory, the research examines how the traditional boundaries of historical narrative and romantic fiction have evolved into a sophisticated genre synthesis. By analyzing thematic structures, narrative strategies, and characterization techniques in key Anglophone texts, the study highlights a shift from conventional period costume dramas toward complex, introspective, and meta-fictional interpretations of the past. Special attention is given to the representation of gender roles, memory, and cultural identity, alongside the interplay between documented historical reality and romantic idealization. The findings demonstrate that the modern historical-romantic novel functions not merely as escapist entertainment, but as an active, critical re-examination of history through the lens of human emotion and subjective experience. Ultimately, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of genre hybridity and stylistic innovation in contemporary English prose.

Keywords: *English literature; historical-romantic novel; genre hybridity; narrative strategies; cultural memory*

1. Introduction

The narrative landscape of contemporary English literature at the turn of the twenty-first century has experienced a profound shift, characterized by the thematic revival and aesthetic transformation of traditional narrative forms. Among these, the historical-romantic novel stands out as one of the most dynamic and resilient genres. Historically perceived as either a rigid chronological chronicle or a popular form of escapist fiction, the genre has undergone a significant paradigm shift in recent decades. The convergence of rigorous historical contextualization with complex romantic and interpersonal narratives has given rise to a sophisticated form of genre hybridity. Modern historical-romantic prose no longer merely reconstructs past eras through decorative period detailing; rather, it engages in an active

theoretical and emotional dialogue with history, reinterpreting documented events through the subjective experiences, psychological depths, and emotional realities of its characters.

This transformation is intimately tied to broader cultural and theoretical movements, most notably post-structuralism and postmodernism. Linda Hutcheon's conceptualization of *historiographic metafiction* has fundamentally reshaped how contemporary authors approach historical representation, encouraging a critical awareness of history as a constructed narrative rather than an absolute, unmediated truth. Within this theoretical framework, modern English-language writers—such as Hilary Mantel, Philippa Gregory, Sarah Waters, and A. S. Byatt—re-examine established historical canons, giving voice to marginalized perspectives, re-evaluating traditional gender dynamics, and interrogating the nature of personal and collective memory. The romantic dimension in these works serves not as a superficial subplot, but as an essential narrative lens through which socio-political power structures, cultural anxieties, and human agency are critically explored.

Despite the rich production and critical acclaim surrounding contemporary historical romance, the specific stylistic mechanisms and poetics governing this hybrid genre remain an area of active scholarly inquiry. Understanding how modern narrative strategies reconcile factual historical constraints with emotional and fictional liberty is essential for evaluating the evolution of current Anglophone prose.

Accordingly, this study aims to examine the development dynamics and narrative poetics of the historical-romantic novel in modern English literature from the late twentieth century to the early twenty-first century. To achieve this objective, the research investigates three central dimensions: genre dynamics and hybridity, thematic evolution, and poetics and narrative techniques.

Genre dynamics and hybridity analyze how contemporary writers bridge the divide between historical accuracy and romantic idealization to construct integrated narrative worlds. While thematic evolution identifies key thematic shifts, particularly regarding gender roles, identity construction, power relations, and the problematic nature of cultural memory, poetics and narrative techniques explore specific literary devices—such as multi-layered perspectives, intertextuality, polyphony, and temporal fluidity—that define the aesthetic structure of modern English historical romance.

Through an interdisciplinary approach blending literary poetics, structural narrative analysis, and historical criticism, this article seeks to demonstrate that the modern historical-romantic novel represents a major stylistic innovation in contemporary English prose—one that redefines our relationship with the past while pushing the conceptual boundaries of narrative fiction.

2. Literature Review

The scholarly discourse surrounding the historical novel has undergone significant theoretical evolution over the past century, transitioning from classic socio-historical interpretations to post-structuralist and postmodern re-evaluations of narrative, memory, and romance. To fully understand the poetics and development dynamics of the modern English historical-romantic novel, it is necessary to examine the intersection of foundational literary theories of historical fiction, postmodern narrative frameworks, and contemporary critique on genre hybridity and romantic conventions.

The theoretical foundation for analyzing historical fiction originates with Georg Lukács ([1937] 1962), whose seminal work *The Historical Novel* established the historical novel as a

vehicle for reflecting socio-historical transformation. Lukács argued that classic historical fiction—exemplified by Sir Walter Scott—succeeded by depicting individual destinies as concrete expressions of epochal class struggles and cultural shifts. In this classical framework, romantic elements often served as a secondary mechanism to humanize historical forces or symbolize ideological reconciliation. However, Lukács's socio-realistic determinism, while foundational, fails to account for the fragmented, introspective, and pluralistic nature of contemporary historical romance.

In the late twentieth century, the conceptualization of historical fiction was radically transformed by postmodern literary theory. Linda Hutcheon (1988) introduced the concept of *historiographic metafiction* in *A Poetics of Postmodernism*, arguing that modern historical fiction actively questions the totalizing claims of traditional historiography. Hutcheon asserted that postmodern historical novels are self-consciously theoretical, foregrounding the understanding that both history and fiction are discursive constructs. Rather than presenting the past as a fixed, objective reality, contemporary writers highlight the textual nature of historical knowledge, creating space for counter-narratives and subjective interpretations. This paradigm shift directly enabled the evolution of the modern historical romance, allowing authors to seamlessly interweave recorded facts with emotional, romantic, and speculative dimensions.

Complementing Hutcheon's framework, Hayden White's (1973) theory of *metahistory* further disrupted the rigid dichotomy between historical fact and fictional narrative. White demonstrated that historical narratives are inherently structured using literary tropes, emplotment, and ideological framing. By establishing that history itself relies on narrative poetics, White provided a theoretical justification for historical-romantic authors to construct narratives where personal, romantic, and emotional truths carry equal cognitive weight to documented political events.

Parallel to the evolution of historical novel theory, the academic evaluation of romantic fiction has shifted from dismissal to serious critical analysis. Early structuralist and feminist critics, such as Janice Radway (1984) in *Reading the Romance*, initially examined romance fiction through sociological and psychological lenses, emphasizing its role in female readership practices and gender ideological structures. Subsequent scholarship by Pamela Regis (2003) in *A Natural History of the Romance Novel* established the formal aesthetics and structural poetics of the genre, defining its essential narrative elements. Modern critical inquiries into historical romance—such as those by Jerome de Groot (2009, 2010)—emphasize how contemporary historical romance operates at the intersection of popular engagement and critical revisionism. De Groot notes that historical fiction in the 21st century functions as a “dynamic cultural space” where popular genres, such as the romance, challenge elitist historical canons and offer complex representations of gender, sexuality, and subaltern agency.

Recent scholarly discussions on 21st-century English literature specifically address the hybridization of historical and romantic narrative forms. Scholars like Diana Wallace (2005) in *The Woman's Historical Novel* have demonstrated that historical romance has historically provided female writers with a powerful medium to interrogate women's marginalization in official historical records. In contemporary practice, authors like Hilary Mantel, Sarah Waters, and Philippa Gregory dismantle the traditional boundary between high literary fiction and popular historical romance. They employ advanced narrative techniques—including polyphonic voices, temporal non-linearity, and intertextuality—to explore the intimate mechanisms of power, trauma, desire, and identity.

Thus, the literature reveals a clear transition from viewing the historical novel as a static, realistic chronicle to recognizing it as a fluid, hybrid genre. While existing scholarship extensively covers historiographic metafiction and popular romance as separate entities, there remains a need for an integrated investigation into how the specific *poetics* of the historical-romantic synthesis function in contemporary Anglophone prose. This review highlights the imperative to systematically analyze how romantic narrative structures and historical contextualization co-exist and mutually enrich one another in modern English literature.

3. Methodology

To systematically examine the development dynamics and poetics of the historical-romantic novel in modern English literature, this study adopts a qualitative, interdisciplinary research design. Grounded in comparative literature, structural narrative theory, and historical critique, the methodological framework combines textual analysis with socio-historical contextualization to investigate how historical accuracy and romantic narrative structures interact in contemporary Anglophone prose.

The research methodology comprises three interconnected phases: textual and poetic analysis, comparative and genre analysis, and thematic and discourse analysis.

1. **Textual and Poetic Analysis:** The core analytical strategy relies on qualitative close reading, focusing on the stylistic, formal, and structural mechanisms of selected primary texts. Special analytical focus is placed on:
 - **Narrative Perspective and Focalization:** examining how first-person, stream-of-consciousness, and polyphonic techniques modulate historical objectivity and romantic subjectivity.
 - **Temporal Poetics:** analyzing non-linear narrative structures, dual-timeline devices (e.g., modern frame narratives coupled with historical subplots), and temporal fluidity.
 - **Intertextuality and Metafictionality:** identifying the integration of archival documents, diaries, pseudo-historical records, and literary allusions that construct historiographic metafiction.
2. **Comparative and Genre Analysis:** The study employs comparative literary analysis to trace the evolution from classical historical novel conventions to modern hybrid genres. By comparing narrative models across representative late 20th and early 21st-century English-language works (e.g., works by Hilary Mantel, Sarah Waters, Philippa Gregory, and A. S. Byatt), the analysis categorizes the distinct ways authors merge romantic conventions with documented historical periods.
3. **Thematic and Discourse Analysis:** Grounded in contemporary socio-cultural frameworks, discourse analysis is utilized to interrogate how thematic structures construct meaning. Particular attention is directed toward:
 - **Gender and Power Dynamics:** assessing how romantic relationships mirror, contest, or dismantle patriarchal historical power structures.
 - **Memory and History:** investigating how personal intimacy, emotional trauma, and romantic longing act as epistemological tools to challenge grand historical narratives.

By integrating close textual reading with comparative genre dynamics, this multi-layered methodological approach ensures a rigorous evaluation of both the aesthetic innovation and ideological depth of the modern English historical-romantic novel.

4. Main Body and Discussion

The analysis of late 20th and early 21st-century English-language historical-romantic novels reveals a dramatic shift in how history and romantic narrative structures coexist. Far from treating romantic plotlines as mere background decoration for historical events, contemporary Anglophone authors deploy romance as a primary interpretive framework to interrogate power, voice, agency, and institutional historiography (de Groot, 2010; Wallace, 2005).

4.1. Genre Hybridity and the Synthesis of History and Romance

Modern Anglophone historical romance resolves the traditional binary between rigid historical realism and popular romantic fiction through a process of genre hybridity. Authors like A. S. Byatt (*Possession*), Sarah Waters (*Fingersmith*, *Tipping the Velvet*), and Philippa Gregory (*The Other Boleyn Girl*) construct narrative spaces where historical accuracy and romantic subjectivity mutually reinforce each other (Regis, 2003; Wallace, 2005).

Rather than adhering to the totalizing, heroic teleology characteristic of 19th-century historical novels (Lukács, 1962), modern historical romance operates as *historiographic metafiction* (Hutcheon, 1988). In works such as Byatt's *Possession*, a dual-timeline structure places contemporary academic researchers alongside 19th-century Victorian poets. The romantic quests of both eras run parallel, demonstrating that emotional, romantic truths recorded in private letters, poems, and diaries often provide a more genuine understanding of human experience than official historical canons. The romance functions not as an escape from history, but as an epistemological tool—a method of knowing the past through emotional resonance and textual discovery (White, 1973; Hutcheon, 1988).

4.2. Narrative Poetics: Perspective, Polyphony, and Temporal Fluidity

The aesthetic structure of the modern English historical-romantic novel relies heavily on complex narrative mechanisms that challenge linear chronology and singular authorial authority:

- **Polyphony and Multi-layered Focalization:** contemporary authors frequently utilize multiple first-person narrators or close third-person focalization to present history as fragmented and subjective. In Philippa Gregory's Tudor novels, shifting perspectives between court figures prioritize domestic, romantic, and interpersonal anxieties over official diplomatic records (de Groot, 2009).
- **Temporal Fluidity and Dual Timelines:** the integration of frame narratives and alternating temporal tracks bridges the past and the present. This structural device emphasizes that modern romantic sensibilities and historical memories are inextricably linked (Hutcheon, 1988).
- **Intertextuality and Archival Simulation:** modern poetics routinely feature invented historical documents, letters, journal entries, and poetry. By embedding these pseudo-archival elements into romantic plots, writers simulate historical authenticity while demonstrating the constructed, textual nature of history itself (White, 1973; Byatt, 1990).

4.3. Re-evaluating Gender, Agency, and Cultural Memory

A defining feature of contemporary historical romance is its critical re-assessment of patriarchal historical records. Traditionally, official historiography has marginalized women, subaltern groups, and non-normative relationships, reducing them to footnotes in political and military chronicles (Radway, 1984; Wallace, 2005).

The modern historical-romantic novel systematically subverts this omission by centering the private sphere—marriage, courtship, illicit desire, and domestic politics—as the true site of historical agency. In Sarah Waters's neo-Victorian fiction, lesbian romance serves as a subversive force that exposes Victorian socio-economic hypocrisies and gender constraints (Waters, 2002; Wallace, 2005). Similarly, in the works of Hilary Mantel and Philippa Gregory, the intimate, romantic, and reproductive choices of female figures are shown to directly shape dynastic power, religious reform, and national destiny (de Groot, 2010).

By prioritizing intimate emotional experience over grand public histories, modern English authors transform the historical romance into a dynamic space for critical revisionism, restoring human agency and marginalized voices to the historical narrative.

5. Conclusion

The investigation into the development dynamics and poetics of the historical-romantic novel in modern English literature from the late 20th to the early 21st century reveals a significant transformation in contemporary Anglophone narrative fiction. Far from remaining a rigid chronological record or a form of superficial escapism, the historical-romantic novel has evolved into a sophisticated hybrid genre that re-evaluates both historical representation and human intimacy.

The findings of this study demonstrate three key insights:

- 1. Genre Synthesis and Epistemological Depth:** Modern authors have bridged the divide between factual history and romantic subjectivity. Through historiographic metafiction, romantic subplots function as essential epistemological mechanisms, allowing writers to explore the emotional, psychological, and subjective dimensions of historical epochs that official documentation often leaves unrecorded.
- 2. Formal Innovation in Poetics:** The aesthetic architecture of the modern historical romance is characterized by narrative polyphony, temporal fluidity, dual timelines, and intertextual archival simulation. These poetics destabilize the notion of a single, authoritative historical truth, offering instead a multi-layered and pluralistic view of the past.
- 3. Subversion of Hegemonic Historiography:** By shifting the focal point of historical narrative from public military and political arenas to the private, domestic, and emotional spheres, contemporary English historical romances restore voice and agency to marginalized groups—particularly women and non-normative identities.

Ultimately, the modern English historical-romantic novel stands as a vibrant testament to the enduring power of narrative hybridity. It demonstrates that history and romance, when combined through sophisticated literary techniques, provide a critical mirror for re-examining cultural memory, personal identity, and human agency across time.

Declarations

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, analysis, drafting, and revision: S.A.

How to Cite:

Aziz, S. (2026). Development dynamics and poetics of the historical-romantic novel genre in modern English literature. *Global Spectrum of Research and Humanities*, 3(4), 56-62. <https://doi.org/10.69760/gsrh.0260304006>

References

- Byatt, A. S. (1990). *Possession: A romance*. Chatto & Windus.
- de Groot, J. (2009). *Consuming history: Historians, historical fiction and popular culture*. Routledge.
- de Groot, J. (2010). *The historical novel*. Routledge.
- Gregory, P. (2001). *The other Boleyn girl*. HarperCollins.
- Hutcheon, L. (1988). *A poetics of postmodernism: History, theory, fiction*. Routledge.
- Lukács, G. (1962). *The historical novel* (H. Mitchell & S. Mitchell, Trans.). Merlin Press. (Original work published 1937)
- Radway, J. A. (1984). *Reading the romance: Women, patriarchy, and popular literature*. University of North Carolina Press.
- Regis, P. (2003). *A natural history of the romance novel*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Wallace, D. (2005). *The woman's historical novel: British women writers, 1900–2000*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Waters, S. (2002). *Fingersmith*. Virago Press.
- White, H. (1973). *Metahistory: The historical imagination in nineteenth-century Europe*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Received: 02 September 2026

Accepted: 06 October 2026

Published: 09 October 2026