The Formulation and Historical Overview of The Problem of Loneliness

[1] Narmin Qasimova
https://doi.org/10.69760/gsrh.0250206022

Abstract: This article examines the historical development of the problem of loneliness, the forms in which it has been conceptualized across different historical periods, and the theoretical foundations proposed for its resolution. The aim of the study is to determine how loneliness has evolved as a socio-psychological phenomenon and how strategies for addressing it have transformed over time. The analysis demonstrates that perceptions of loneliness are closely linked to broader historical processes and cultural changes. While numerous scientific interpretations and recommendations have been proposed, a comprehensive socio-philosophical concept of loneliness has yet to be fully established. The article concludes that effective approaches to addressing loneliness require a multidisciplinary perspective and the simultaneous consideration of both individual and social dimensions.

Keywords: *problem of loneliness; social philosophy; public thought; self-isolation; phenomenon; strategies; analytical approach*

1. Introduction: Loneliness as a Socio-Philosophical Problem

Loneliness has become one of the most visible challenges of contemporary social life. Although it is often understood as a private emotional state, recent cultural and social transformations—urbanization, migration, changing family structures, and digital communication—have intensified loneliness and pushed it into the public sphere as a shared societal concern. For this reason, loneliness can no longer be treated only as a personal psychological difficulty; it must also be analyzed as a phenomenon shaped by social structures, cultural norms, and historical conditions.

At the conceptual level, loneliness exists at two interconnected levels. First, it is an individual experience, involving subjective feelings of emotional emptiness, lack of belonging, or disconnection. Second, it is a social phenomenon, reflecting weakened social bonds, declining community participation, and reduced meaningful interpersonal interaction. A socio-philosophical approach is therefore necessary because it allows loneliness to be understood not only through individual emotions but also through questions of human nature, social integration, moral life, and the forms of community that sustain meaningful existence.

[1] Gasimova, N. Master's Student, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. Email: narminqasimova4344@gmail.com. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1393-0458

The aim of this study is to examine the historical development of the problem of loneliness and to identify how different periods have conceptualized it and proposed strategies for overcoming it. The study pursues the following objectives: (1) to trace key philosophical and socio-cultural interpretations of loneliness from antiquity to the present; (2) to clarify major conceptual distinctions (e.g., loneliness versus solitude and isolation); and (3) to show how shifts in social organization and cultural values have transformed loneliness into a mass social issue in modern times.

A historical perspective is essential for two reasons. First, it reveals that loneliness is not a new problem but a persistent feature of human self-understanding, interpreted differently across epochs. Second, it demonstrates that contemporary loneliness cannot be fully explained without considering the historical processes that reshaped community life and individual identity. By connecting classical, religious, existential, and modern socio-psychological interpretations, this article seeks to contribute to a clearer socio-philosophical framing of loneliness and to support more integrated approaches to its resolution.

2. Methodological Framework and Research Approach

This article employs the historical-analytical method as its primary research strategy. The purpose of using this method is to examine how the concept of loneliness has been formulated, reinterpreted, and expanded across different historical periods. Rather than treating loneliness as a fixed phenomenon, the historical-analytical approach views it as an evolving concept shaped by intellectual traditions, cultural contexts, and changing social realities. In this way, the study focuses on the transformation of meanings: what loneliness "meant" for ancient philosophers, medieval theologians, existential thinkers, and modern socio-psychological theorists.

In addition, the study relies on the systematization of existing philosophical and social literature. This involves organizing key viewpoints into a coherent framework and identifying recurring themes, conceptual shifts, and theoretical gaps. The analysis integrates classical philosophical texts and modern theoretical works in order to highlight continuity and change in the understanding of loneliness. Particular attention is given to how interpretations move from spiritual and metaphysical readings toward social, cultural, and psychological explanations in modernity.

The research is explicitly interdisciplinary. While the core orientation is socio-philosophical, the discussion draws on concepts from psychology (loneliness as emotional and cognitive experience), sociology (social bonds, integration, community decline), and cultural studies (modern identity and digital life). This interdisciplinary scope is necessary because loneliness functions simultaneously as an inner experience and a socially produced condition, and it cannot be adequately explained through a single disciplinary lens.

Finally, the study acknowledges limitations that shape the scope of its conclusions. First, as a theoretical and historical study, it does not present original empirical data; instead, it synthesizes existing interpretations and conceptual models. Second, the article does not attempt to provide an exhaustive survey of all philosophical traditions; rather, it focuses on influential and widely cited perspectives that have shaped contemporary discussions. Third, although the study recognizes neurobiological and clinical approaches, its primary contribution remains conceptual and

interpretive: it aims to clarify the socio-philosophical development of loneliness and to argue for integrated models that connect individual and social levels.

3. Conceptual Foundations of Loneliness

Loneliness may be defined as a socio-psychological phenomenon that emerges when an individual experiences a perceived gap between desired and actual social connection. Importantly, loneliness is not identical to being alone. A person may live in a crowded environment and still feel deeply lonely, because loneliness is shaped not simply by the number of contacts but by the meaning, quality, and emotional depth of relationships. For this reason, loneliness should be understood as a complex state that involves subjective feelings (emotional pain, emptiness, lack of belonging) and objective conditions (weakened social ties, reduced participation, limited support networks). The socio-psychological nature of loneliness becomes especially visible in modern societies, where individual autonomy is emphasized while stable communal structures often weaken.

A clear conceptual discussion requires distinguishing loneliness from related terms such as isolation and solitude. *Social isolation* usually refers to an objective condition—limited social contact, restricted participation in social life, or physical separation from others. In contrast, *loneliness* is primarily subjective: it refers to how a person experiences their relational world, including feelings of disconnection even when others are present. *Solitude*, however, differs from both: it often implies a voluntary and potentially meaningful state of being alone, associated with reflection, self-dialogue, or creativity. Solitude can be restorative, while loneliness is typically experienced as deprivation. These distinctions are essential because they prevent the conceptual confusion that often appears in public discussions, where "being alone" is treated as automatically equivalent to loneliness.

Loneliness has long held a significant place in public thought and social philosophy because it touches the central philosophical tension between the human need for belonging and the individual's inner life. Social philosophy traditionally treats the human being as fundamentally relational, yet it also recognizes that individuals can experience existential separation even within society. Public thought, meanwhile, often frames loneliness as a social symptom—linked to cultural change, loss of community, and moral crisis. This dual attention explains why loneliness can be studied both as a personal emotional condition and as an indicator of social fragmentation.

Despite the breadth of scholarship on loneliness, there remains an absence of a unified socio-philosophical concept that fully integrates individual experience with social structure. Many approaches remain partial: psychological models can explain subjective mechanisms, while sociological theories emphasize social capital, modernization, and weakened community. Philosophical interpretations highlight existential meaning, freedom, and selfhood. However, without a synthesized framework, these perspectives may remain fragmented. Therefore, the conceptual task of this study is to connect these interpretive traditions and demonstrate that loneliness must be understood as a phenomenon produced at the intersection of inner experience, cultural meaning, and social organization.

4. Classical Philosophical Interpretations of Loneliness

4.1. Loneliness and Social Nature in Ancient Philosophy

Classical philosophy provides one of the earliest theoretical foundations for understanding loneliness, primarily through its focus on the social nature of human beings. Aristotle's definition of the human being as *zoon politikon* ("a political/social animal") emphasizes that human flourishing depends on participation in the community. In this view, the individual achieves wholeness through relationships, shared norms, and communal life. Isolation is not merely an external condition but a threat to human completeness and ethical development. Aristotle's framework suggests that the human person cannot be fully realized outside a network of social belonging, and that separation from the community risks a decline in moral and psychological stability.

Within this classical perspective, the tension between social belonging and isolation becomes a central issue. Even if ancient thinkers did not theorize loneliness in modern psychological terms, they clearly recognized that separation from the polis—or exclusion from shared life—could produce a kind of human incompleteness. Thus, classical philosophy offers an early argument for why loneliness is more than a private feeling: it is connected to the fundamental structure of social existence and the conditions under which human life becomes meaningful.

4.2. Stoic Philosophy and Loneliness

While Aristotle emphasizes the necessity of community, Stoic philosophy offers a distinct approach by framing loneliness not only as deprivation but also as a potential path to inner strength. The Stoics, particularly Seneca, developed a vision of selfhood that values inner independence and moral discipline. In this tradition, withdrawal from external distractions and excessive social dependence can be interpreted as a form of philosophical training. Loneliness is not necessarily romanticized, but it is reframed: it may become an opportunity for self-examination, emotional regulation, and the strengthening of reason.

Seneca's approach suggests that loneliness may function as a form of self-discipline and spiritual purification. Through distance from social noise and unnecessary attachments, the individual may cultivate resilience and achieve a clearer relationship with the self. This Stoic framing is important because it introduces a key distinction that later becomes central in modern discussions: the difference between destructive loneliness and constructive solitude. In this sense, Stoicism provides an early philosophical foundation for the idea that certain forms of "being alone" can be ethically productive, while loneliness—when marked by abandonment and emotional emptiness— requires different interpretive and practical responses.

5. Religious and Medieval Perspectives on Loneliness

Religious and medieval traditions often frame loneliness through a moral–spiritual lens, treating "being alone" not simply as a deficit of social contact but as a condition that can shape self-examination, conscience, and inner transformation. Historical accounts of loneliness show that early Christian thought frequently connected aloneness with inwardness, confession, and the search for spiritual grounding, rather than interpreting it exclusively as a social pathology (Alberti,

2019; Тостокова, 2019). Within this framework, loneliness may be experienced as a painful separation, yet it may also function as a pathway toward reflection and ethical self-formation.

A key theme in this period is loneliness as inner dialogue—a structured turning inward that supports self-knowledge and, in religious terms, communion with God. Rather than emphasizing outward participation, this perspective privileges the interior life, suggesting that meaning can be cultivated through introspection and spiritual orientation (Alberti, 2019; Тостокова, 2019). From a conceptual standpoint, this tradition is important because it introduces early distinctions between harmful isolation and purposive withdrawal, anticipating later philosophical debates on the value and risks of being alone.

Accordingly, medieval approaches help clarify the difference between spiritual solitude and existential isolation. Spiritual solitude may be intentional and meaning-oriented, while existential isolation implies rupture—loss of meaning, disconnection, and a sense of abandonment. Contemporary conceptual work in loneliness research supports this distinction by stressing that loneliness cannot be reduced to physical aloneness; it is shaped by interpretation, meaning, and the perceived quality of one's relational world (McHugh Power et al., 2018). Thus, the religious–medieval viewpoint contributes to a broader socio-philosophical understanding: loneliness is not only a social fact, but also a condition mediated by values, self-relations, and meaning frameworks (Alberti, 2019).

6. Loneliness in Modern and Existential Philosophy

Modern philosophical traditions increasingly associate loneliness with questions of meaning, individuality, and freedom, placing emphasis on the person's inner separation and responsibility for self-definition. Histories of the concept show that, as modernity develops, loneliness is less frequently treated as merely a social absence and more often interpreted as a fundamental human condition related to self-awareness and the search for significance (Alberti, 2019; Тостокова, 2019). In this sense, loneliness becomes an interpretive problem: it reflects how individuals experience themselves in relation to the world, not only how many relationships they possess.

A central theme in modern and existential thinking is that loneliness can emerge from the tension between autonomy and belonging. The more the individual is understood as self-determining, the more loneliness can appear as the cost of freedom, choice, and inner responsibility. Contemporary philosophical discussions in health-related loneliness research similarly argue that conceptual clarity requires recognizing loneliness as a phenomenon located between selfhood and worldhood—an experience shaped by interpretation, identity, and existential orientation (McHugh Power et al., 2018). This approach does not deny the social dimension; rather, it highlights that even within social life, individuals can face an inner separation that is difficult to resolve through external contact alone.

Modern individuality also changes the social conditions under which loneliness becomes widespread. Large-scale transformations—urbanization, mobility, and the restructuring of communal life—have weakened some traditional forms of belonging and increased the likelihood that individuals experience disconnection even in technologically connected societies (Toffler, 1980; Putnam, 2000). Cultural-philosophical work likewise describes loneliness as one of the

paradoxes of modern communication: contact becomes easier, yet meaningful relational depth may decline (Svendsen, 2015). Therefore, modern and existential perspectives provide a bridge between historical interpretations and contemporary social analysis: loneliness is simultaneously an inner experience of meaning and a condition shaped by modern social organization (Alberti, 2019; McHugh Power et al., 2018).

7. Socio-Psychological Interpretations of Loneliness in the Modern Era

In modern scholarship, loneliness is increasingly conceptualized as a socio-psychological phenomenon produced by the interaction between subjective experience and social structure. This shift reflects the growth of systematic research in psychology, sociology, and public health, where loneliness is studied as a distinct construct rather than merely a symptom of other conditions. Classic theoretical work distinguishes loneliness from simple aloneness by arguing that loneliness depends on the mismatch between desired and actual relationships, emphasizing both emotional and social dimensions (Weiss, 1973). Subsequent research has reinforced that loneliness is not determined only by the number of connections, but by their perceived quality and meaning (Lim et al., 2020).

A major socio-theoretical line of explanation links loneliness to changes in social bonds and community life. Analyses of modernization and cultural transformation suggest that weakening communal participation and social capital contributes to rising loneliness, especially when traditional networks of support decline (Putnam, 2000; Toffler, 1980). Empirical public-health research similarly shows that loneliness and social isolation are associated with negative health outcomes in older age and across the life course, underlining that loneliness is not merely private distress but also a broader social-health concern (Courtin & Knapp, 2017; Santini et al., 2020). Specific contexts—such as widowhood and shifts in marital status—also reveal how changes in close relational structures can elevate vulnerability to loneliness (Vedder et al., 2024).

At the cognitive and biological level, loneliness is now widely discussed as a mechanism that affects perception and functioning, not only mood. Research on perceived social isolation indicates links with cognition and broader psychophysiological processes, supporting the view that loneliness can operate as a chronic stressor with measurable consequences (Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2009). In parallel, clinical and community nursing perspectives highlight how isolation and reduced contact—especially in older adults during periods of social distancing—may intensify psychological distress and increase the need for integrated psychosocial support (Baker & Clark, 2020).

Finally, contemporary research emphasizes that technological environments can reshape the experience of connection. Studies of telepresence and digitally mediated participation show that technology may reconfigure connectedness and social inclusion in complex ways, sometimes creating new forms of participation while also exposing limits to "connection" that lacks relational depth (Hughes et al., 2024). Cultural-philosophical analysis reinforces this point by noting that modern societies can produce an "illusion of connection" while weakening sustained interpersonal engagement (Svendsen, 2015). Taken together, these socio-psychological perspectives support the central argument of the article: loneliness must be understood through an integrated lens that

connects subjective experience with social structures, cultural conditions, and contemporary modes of interaction (McHugh Power et al., 2018; Courtin & Knapp, 2017).

8. Loneliness as a Mass Social Problem in Contemporary Society

In contemporary society, loneliness is increasingly understood not only as a private emotional experience but also as a mass social problem shaped by structural transformations. Processes of urbanization and modernization have altered everyday patterns of interaction by increasing mobility, weakening neighborhood-based relationships, and reducing reliance on extended family and community networks. These shifts intensify the risk that individuals experience disconnection even while living in densely populated environments. Analyses of modern social change suggest that rapid transformation in lifestyles and institutions can erode traditional support systems and produce new forms of individualization that leave people more vulnerable to loneliness (Toffler, 1980; Putnam, 2000).

A central feature of this transformation is the tension between social integration and fragmentation. On the one hand, contemporary societies offer expanded opportunities for contact through education, work, and technology; on the other hand, social life often becomes more fragmented, with fewer stable communal routines and fewer durable forms of shared participation. Research on community life and social capital indicates that declining civic participation and weakening interpersonal trust can reduce the sense of belonging that protects individuals from loneliness (Putnam, 2000). Public-health scholarship likewise demonstrates that loneliness and social isolation are associated with negative outcomes, especially in older populations, reinforcing that loneliness is not merely personal suffering but also a social condition with collective consequences (Courtin & Knapp, 2017; Santini et al., 2020).

For these reasons, loneliness can be described as a structural social issue, produced not only by personal traits but also by the organization of modern life. Contemporary research emphasizes that perceived isolation is linked to cognitive and physiological processes, which strengthens the argument that loneliness has measurable impacts at the individual level while still being socially patterned in its distribution (Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2009). Moreover, modern technologies can create new ways of connecting while also reshaping what "participation" and "connectedness" mean. Studies on telepresence technologies show that digital participation may support contact, yet it can also highlight the limitations of technologically mediated social life when relational depth and meaningful inclusion are lacking (Hughes et al., 2024). These findings align with philosophical-cultural accounts that describe loneliness as a paradox of the information society, where communication tools expand but genuine human interaction may decline (Svendsen, 2015).

Given its complexity, addressing loneliness requires multidisciplinary and integrative approaches. Philosophical work stresses the importance of conceptual clarity and meaning-oriented frameworks, while psychological and public-health research focuses on cognitive-emotional mechanisms and health outcomes. Contemporary scholarship argues that effective solutions must integrate these levels rather than treating loneliness only as an internal emotion or only as a social statistic (McHugh Power et al., 2018; Lim et al., 2020). Consequently, interventions should aim

simultaneously at strengthening personal coping and meaning-making capacities and rebuilding social environments that support belonging, participation, and durable connection.

9. Discussion: Continuity and Transformation of the Loneliness Problem

A historical view reveals the continuity of loneliness as a recurring human concern. Across different epochs, loneliness has been linked to self-awareness, moral reflection, and the search for meaning, indicating that it is not an invention of modern society but a persistent element of human existence (Alberti, 2019; Тостокова, 2019). However, while the phenomenon is continuous, its dominant interpretations and perceived causes have changed as societies have changed. Earlier frameworks often approached loneliness through spiritual, ethical, or metaphysical categories, whereas modern scholarship increasingly frames it through social organization, psychological mechanisms, and public-health consequences (McHugh Power et al., 2018; Courtin & Knapp, 2017).

The causes and interpretations of loneliness have therefore undergone significant transformation. Modernization, urbanization, and the restructuring of communities have produced conditions in which loneliness may emerge at scale, often alongside reduced civic participation and weakened social capital (Putnam, 2000; Toffler, 1980). Contemporary research also shows that loneliness is related to depression and anxiety symptoms and can operate through complex pathways connecting social disconnectedness with mental health outcomes (Santini et al., 2020; Lim et al., 2020). At the same time, neurocognitive perspectives suggest that loneliness affects cognition and can become a chronic stressor, emphasizing that loneliness is not only a cultural narrative but also a psychophysiological reality (Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2009).

A key issue raised by this transformation concerns individual versus social responsibility. If loneliness is treated solely as a personal weakness, responsibility is placed on individuals to "socialize more" or adjust attitudes. Yet the evidence supports a broader interpretation: loneliness is strongly shaped by social conditions such as community decline, fragmentation of shared life, and structural vulnerability (Putnam, 2000; Courtin & Knapp, 2017). The most plausible approach is therefore shared responsibility: individuals may develop skills and meaning-making capacities, but societies and institutions must also create environments that enable participation, support, and inclusion (McHugh Power et al., 2018; Baker & Clark, 2020).

10. Conclusion

This article has examined the formulation and historical development of loneliness, demonstrating that loneliness has remained a persistent human concern while undergoing major shifts in interpretation and perceived causation. The analysis shows that loneliness is not limited to the private sphere of emotion; it is also shaped by broader historical processes, cultural transformations, and the organization of social life. Contemporary research further indicates that loneliness has significant health and psychological implications, strengthening the argument that it should be treated as both a personal and societal issue (Courtin & Knapp, 2017; Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2009).

A central conclusion is that loneliness should be understood as a historically evolving phenomenon. While earlier traditions often interpreted loneliness through religious or existential categories, modern conditions—such as individualization, weakened community participation, and technological mediation—have contributed to the expansion of loneliness as a mass social problem (Alberti, 2019; Putnam, 2000; Hughes et al., 2024). This confirms that loneliness is not simply a timeless inner feeling; it is also a condition whose dominant forms and meanings change with social structures and cultural values.

The article also highlights the importance of combining philosophical, social, and psychological perspectives. Philosophical approaches contribute conceptual clarity and meaning-oriented interpretation, while sociology and public health illuminate structural patterns and collective consequences, and psychology explains subjective mechanisms and coping. Integrating these perspectives is essential for advancing a more complete socio-philosophical model of loneliness (McHugh Power et al., 2018; Lim et al., 2020).

Future research should continue in three directions. First, further conceptual work is needed to build integrative frameworks that connect existential meaning with social conditions and empirical evidence. Second, interdisciplinary studies should examine how technological environments reshape participation and belonging across different groups (Hughes et al., 2024). Third, intervention research should evaluate strategies that address both individual-level vulnerabilities and community-level structures, particularly among high-risk populations, including older adults and those experiencing major life transitions (Baker & Clark, 2020; Vedder et al., 2024).

References

Alberti, F. B. (2019). *A biography of loneliness: The history of an emotion*. Oxford University Press.

Baker, E., & Clark, L. L. (2020). Biopsychopharmacosocial approach to assess impact of social distancing and isolation on mental health in older adults. *British journal of community nursing*, *25*(5), 231-238.

Cacioppo, J. T., & Hawkley, L. C. (2009). Perceived social isolation and cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 13*(10), 447–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.06.005

Courtin, E., & Knapp, M. (2017). Social isolation, loneliness and health in old age: a scoping review. *Health & social care in the community*, *25*(3), 799-812.

Fromm, E. (1941). *Escape from freedom*. Farrar & Rinehart.

Hughes, G., Moore, L., Hennessy, M., Sandset, T., Jentoft, E. E., & Haldar, M. (2024). What kind of a problem is loneliness? Representations of connectedness and participation from a study of telepresence technologies in the UK. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, *6*, 1304085.

Lim, M. H., Eres, R., & Vasan, S. (2020). Understanding loneliness in the twenty-first century: an update on correlates, risk factors, and potential solutions. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*, *55*(7), 793-810.

McHugh Power, J. E., Dolezal, L., Kee, F., & Lawlor, B. A. (2018). Conceptualizing loneliness in health research: Philosophical and psychological ways forward. *Journal of theoretical and Philosophical Psychology*, *38*(4), 219.

Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.

Rogers, C. R. (1961). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy*. Houghton Mifflin.

Santini, Z. I., Jose, P. E., Cornwell, E. Y., Koyanagi, A., Nielsen, L., Hinrichsen, C., ... & Koushede, V. (2020). Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and symptoms of depression and anxiety among older Americans (NSHAP): a longitudinal mediation analysis. *The Lancet Public Health*, *5*(1), e62-e70.

Svendsen, L. (2015). *A philosophy of loneliness*. Reaktion Books.

Toffler, A. (1980). *The third wave*. William Morrow.

Vedder, A., Stroebe, M. S., Stokes, J. E., Schut, H. A., Schut, B., Boerner, K., & Boelen, P. A. (2024). Exploring loneliness across widowhood and other marital statuses: A systematic review integrating insights from grief research. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, *41*(12), 3741-3769.

Venkatasubramanian, V., Rengaswamy, R., Kavuri, S. N., & Yin, K. (2003). A review of process fault detection and diagnosis: Part III: Process history based methods. *Computers & chemical engineering*, *27*(3), 327-346.

Weiss, R. S. (1973). *Loneliness: The experience of emotional and social isolation*. MIT Press.

Тостокова, К. А. (2019). CONSIDERATION OF THE PROBLEM OF LONELINESS IN THE HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHICAL IDEAS (theoretical aspect). *Известия ВУЗов Кыргызстана*, (6), 106-108.